arXiv:quant-ph/9808034v2 31 Aug 1998

Some Aspects of Generalized Contact Interaction in One-Dimensional Quantum Mechanics

Taksu Cheon[1,2] and T. Shigehara[3]
[1] *Laboratory of Physics, Kochi University of Technology*
Tosa Yamada, Kochi 782-8502, Japan
[2] *Theory Division, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK)*
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
[3] *Department of Information and Computer Sciences*
Saitama University, Urawa, Saitama 338-8570, Japan

Abstract

We construct a one-dimensional contact interaction (ε potential) which induces the discontinuity of the wave function while keeping its derivative continuous. By combining the ε potential and the Dirac's δ function, we construct most general one-dimensional contact interactions allowable under the time reversal symmetry. We present some elementary results for the scattering problem which suggest a dual relation between δ and ε potentials.

I. Introduction

The quantum-mechanical contact interaction is a natural idealization of an obstacle which is much smaller than the wavelength of a particle [1]. In spite of the seeming simplicity of contact interactions, there are several non-trivial aspects which are largely left unexplored. Even in the simplest setting of one dimension, there has been a longstanding problem of approximating the generalized contact interaction with a short-range limit of a local self-adjoint operator. Apart from a rather trivial case of Dirac's δ potential, generalized contact interaction has resisted the realization of such representation. Existing approximations have been either non-local or non-Hermitian [2-6], thus have left us with little physical intuition. Also, one could not find much relevance to the experimentally realizable "real-life" quantum mechanics. In this paper, we sketch a scheme for just such local realization of generalized contact interaction. We also show that the object so realized is not only a natural generalization, but also an indispensable element of one-dimensional quantum mechanics.

We consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$-\varphi''(x) + V(x)\varphi(x) = k^2\varphi(x), \tag{1}$$

where $V(x)$ belongs to a general class of quantum-mechanical contact interactions; $V(x) = 0$ for $x \neq 0$. The self-adjoint extension theory dictates [1] that if the system has the time reversal symmetry, there exists a three parameter family of solutions, characterized by the connection conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi'(0_+) \\ \varphi(0_+) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{V} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi'(0_-) \\ \varphi(0_-) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

with $\mathcal{V} \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})$. Clearly, the connection matrix

$$\mathcal{V}_\delta(v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

corresponds to the δ potential of strength v;

$$V(x) = v\delta(x). \tag{4}$$

Our main purpose is to show that a potential consisting of several δ functions with disappearing distances can give rise to all possible connection conditions Eq.(2) with appropriate scaling of the coupling strengths. This means that all allowable one-dimensional contact interactions can be realized as the zero-range limit of local self-adjoint (namely, physically realizable) interactions. We start in Sect.II by constructing a zero-range force which leaves the derivative of the wave function $\varphi'(x)$ continuous, but makes $\varphi(x)$ itself discontinuous [2,3];

$$\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

We denote the contact interaction with the connection condition (5) by $\varepsilon(x)$;

$$V(x) = u\varepsilon(x). \tag{6}$$

We then show that the general connection condition of the form Eq.(2) can be constructed from the ε and δ potentials. Some elementary results for the scattering problem are presented in Sect.III, where a dual role of δ and ε potentials is emphasized. This work is summarized in Sect.IV.

II. Local Realization of Discontinuity-Inducing Contact Interactions

We begin with the Schrödinger equation in one-dimensional free space;

$$-\varphi''(x) = k^2\varphi(x), \tag{7}$$

where k is the wave number of a particle. It is convenient to introduce a vector notation for the wave function and its space derivative;

$$\mathbf{\Psi}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi'(x) \\ \varphi(x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

The Schrödinger equation, Eq.(7) now takes the form

$$\mathbf{\Psi}'(x) = \mathcal{H}(k)\mathbf{\Psi}(x) \tag{9}$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

The solution of Eq.(9) is given by

$$\mathbf{\Psi}(x) = \mathcal{G}(k; x - x_0)\mathbf{\Psi}(x_0), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(k; x) \equiv e^{\mathcal{H}(k)x} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos kx & -k \sin kx \\ \frac{1}{k} \sin kx & \cos kx \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Because of the property $Tr\mathcal{H}(k) = 0$, we have $\mathcal{G}(k; x) \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})$, namely $\det \mathcal{G}(k; x) = 1$.

We now consider a potential consisting of three nearby δ functions located with equal distances a which we assume to be small [7];

$$V(a; x) = v_1\delta(x + a) + v_0\delta(x) + v_1\delta(x - a). \tag{13}$$

The connection condition between $x = (-a)_-$ and $x = a_+$ is given by

$$\mathbf{\Psi}(a_+) = \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)\mathbf{\Psi}((-a)_-) \tag{14}$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k) = \mathcal{V}_\delta(v_1)\mathcal{G}(k; a)\mathcal{V}_\delta(v_0)\mathcal{G}(k; a)\mathcal{V}_\delta(v_1). \tag{15}$$

For sufficiently small $a \ll 1/k$, we can expand Eq.(12) as

$$\mathcal{G}(k; a) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k^2 a \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

Inserting Eqs.(3) and (16) into Eq.(15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)]_{11} &= [\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)]_{22} \\ &= 1 + (v_0 + 2v_1 + v_0 v_1 a - k^2 a)a, && (17) \\ [\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)]_{12} &= v_0 + 2v_1 + 2v_1 a(v_0 + v_1 - k^2 a) \\ &\quad + v_0 v_1^2 a^2 - 2k^2 a, && (18) \\ [\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)]_{21} &= 2a + v_0 a^2. && (19) \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $a \to +0$ with v_0, v_1 constant, we simply obtain the connection condition for a δ potential of strength $v_0 + 2v_1$. On the other hand, Eq.(19) tells that if the coupling strength v_0 varies as

$$v_0(a) \simeq \frac{u}{a^2} \tag{20}$$

for small a, one obtains $\lim_{a \to +0} [\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)]_{21} = u$. Furthermore, if we let

$$v_1(a) \simeq \frac{2}{u} - \frac{1}{a}, \tag{21}$$

the diagonal elements (17) converge toward one in the small a limit. We obtain

$$\lim_{a \to +0} \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k) = \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(u) \tag{22}$$

with Eqs.(20) and (21). Thus our first objective is achieved.

Though both the strengths v_0, v_1 diverge in the small a limit, $V(a;x)$ is nonsingular by construction. Note that $\lim_{a\to+0}[\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)]_{12}=0$ is ensured because of $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon;a}(k)\in SL(2,\mathbf{R})$.

By using the ε potential and the δ potential in combination, one can realize the general connection condition

$$\mathcal{V}=\begin{pmatrix} t & v \\ u & s \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

where $ts-uv=1$, $t,v,u,s\in\mathbf{R}$. The following matrix decompositions serve for our purpose;

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & v \\ u & s \end{pmatrix}=\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_\delta(\frac{t-1}{u})\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(u)\mathcal{V}_\delta(\frac{s-1}{u}) & \text{for } u\neq 0, \\ \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(\frac{s-1}{v})\mathcal{V}_\delta(v)\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(\frac{t-1}{v}) & \text{for } v\neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Each matrix on RHS takes a form of either δ or ε potential. This implies that in case of $u\neq 0$, for instance, one can realize a general connection condition in the limit

$$V(x)=\lim_{b\to+0}\left(\frac{s-1}{u}\delta(x+b)+u\varepsilon(x)+\frac{t-1}{u}\delta(x-b)\right). \tag{25}$$

In case of $v=u=0$, one can use the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} \pm|t| & 0 \\ 0 & \pm|s| \end{pmatrix} &= \mathcal{V}_\delta(\rho)\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(-\frac{1}{\rho})\mathcal{V}_\delta(\rho) \\ &\times\ \mathcal{V}_\delta(\mp\frac{1}{\rho})\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(\pm\rho)\mathcal{V}_\delta(\mp\frac{1}{\rho})\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

with $\rho=\sqrt{|t|}$, which is also written in terms of the connection matrices for the δ and ε potentials.

III. Scattering Properties and Delta-Epsilon Duality

In this section, we briefly discuss the scattering properties of the ε potential and point out a dual role between the δ and ε potentials.

We start by putting a general contact interaction at the origin on x-axis. Incident and outgoing waves can be written as

$$\varphi_{in}(x) = A(k)e^{ikx}+B(k)e^{-ikx} \quad (x<0), \tag{27}$$

$$\varphi_{out}(x) = e^{ikx} \quad (x>0). \tag{28}$$

Here we assume the incident wave comes from minus infinity and as a result, φ_{out} has only an outgoing component, whose amplitude is normalized to one. The connection condition in Eq.(2) is written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} ik \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{V} \begin{pmatrix} ik & -ik \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(k) \\ B(k) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

leading to

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(k) \\ B(k) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2ik} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ik \\ -1 & ik \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} ik \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

The transmission and reflection coefficients are calculated with

$$T(k) = \left| \frac{1}{A(k)} \right|^2, \qquad R(k) = \left| \frac{B(k)}{A(k)} \right|^2, \tag{31}$$

respectively. In case of $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_\delta(v)$, we obtain the well-known results

$$T_\delta(k) = \frac{k^2}{k^2 + (v/2)^2}, \quad R_\delta(k) = \frac{(v/2)^2}{k^2 + (v/2)^2}. \tag{32}$$

For $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(u)$, we obtain

$$T_\varepsilon(k) = \frac{(2/u)^2}{k^2 + (2/u)^2}, \quad R_\varepsilon(k) = \frac{k^2}{k^2 + (2/u)^2}. \tag{33}$$

One can observe that if $u = v$, $T_\delta(k) = T_\varepsilon(1/k)$ and $R_\delta(k) = R_\varepsilon(1/k)$ are satisfied. This implies that the low (resp. high) energy dynamics of ε potential is described by the high (resp. low) energy dynamics of δ potential.

The dual role of δ and ε potentials becomes more manifest when we consider the scattering of two *identical* particles. We now regard the variable x as the relative coordinate of two identical particles whose statistics is either fermionic or bosonic. The incoming and outgoing waves are now related by the exchange symmetry. We assume the form

$$\varphi_{in}(x) = e^{ikx} + C(k)e^{-ikx} \qquad (x < 0), \tag{34}$$

$$\varphi_{out}(x) = \pm e^{-ikx} \pm C(k)e^{ikx} \qquad (x > 0). \tag{35}$$

$$\tag{36}$$

where the composite signs take $+$ for bosons and $-$ for fermions. Important fact to note is that the symmetry (resp. anisymmetry) of $\varphi(x)$ leads to the antisymmetry (resp. symmetry) of it's derivative $\varphi'(x)$. The coefficient $C(k)$ becomes the scattering matrix. The connection condition in Eq.(2) now reads

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} -ik & ik \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mp \mathcal{V} \begin{pmatrix} ik & -ik \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C(k) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{37}$$

We first consider the case for δ potential $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_\delta(v)$. One obtains

$$C_\delta(k) = 1 \qquad \text{for fermions}, \tag{38}$$

$$C_\delta(k) = \frac{2ik + v}{2ik - v} \qquad \text{for bosons}. \tag{39}$$

The first equation means that the δ function is inoperative as the two-body interaction between identical bosons, which is of course a trivial fact. Next we consider the case of ε potential $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(u)$. One has

$$C_\varepsilon(k) = \frac{2ik + 4/u}{2ik - 4/u} \quad \text{for fermions,} \tag{40}$$

$$C_\varepsilon(k) = 1 \quad \text{for bosons.} \tag{41}$$

One finds that the role of fermion and boson cases are exchanged: The ε potential as the two-body interaction has no effect on identical bosons, but *does* have an effect on the fermions. Moreover, the scattering amplitude of fermions with $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(u)$ is exactly the same as that of bosons with $\mathcal{V}_\delta(v)$ if the two coupling constants are related as

$$vu = 4. \tag{42}$$

Therefore, a two-fermion system with ε potential is dual to a two-boson system with δ potential. As expected, a natural generalization to N-particle systems exists [8].

IV. Conclusion

From the construction Eq. (24), we can easily see the reason why the generalized contact interaction in one-dimension with time reversal symmetry is of three parameter family: There are two kinds of "elementary" contact interactions – δ and ε – and one obtains different physics by different spatial ordering of δ and ε even in the zero-range limit. This construction should be also useful in the actual design of the possible device realizing the generalized contact interaction in mesoscopic scale.

It is clear from the arguments in the last section that the ε interaction is a generic short-range limit of arbitrary two-body interaction between like fermions, just as δ interaction being the generic zero-range force between like bosons. As such, the ε interaction, which has been a rather mysterious object, should be viewed as an integral component of one-dimensional many-body quantum mechanics.

We are grateful to Prof. Y. Okada for useful discussions. This work has been supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid (No. 10640396) by the Japanese Ministry of Education.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn and H. Holden, "Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
[2] P. Seba, Czech. J. Phys., B36 (1986) 667.
[3] P. Seba, Rep. Math. Phys. 24 (1986) 111.
[4] M. Careau, J. Phys. A26 (1993) 427.
[5] P.R. Chernoff and R. Hughes, J. Funct. Anal. 111 (1993) 92.
[6] J.E. Avron, P. Exner, Y. Last, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 896.
[7] T. Cheon and T. Shigehara, Phys. Lett. A243 (1998) 111.
[8] T. Cheon and T. Shigehara, LANL preprint quant-ph/9806041.